Distinguishing Neurodivergence (Autism & ADHD) From Narcissistic Personality Traits

A Critical, Ethical and Clinical Review

14 January 2026

Abstract

The increasing public use of psychological language relating to neurodivergence, trauma, and personality has expanded opportunities for self-understanding, but has also heightened the risk of misidentification and stigma where distinct psychological patterns overlap in behavioural presentation.

This paper examines the challenges involved in distinguishing autistic and ADHD-related traits from narcissistic-style self-esteem protection, particularly in the presence of trauma, which may amplify or obscure features across domains.

To address these challenges, a pattern-based, non-diagnostic framework is proposed, alongside ethical principles for the development of a reflective self-report questionnaire. The paper emphasises mechanism-focused interpretation, trauma-informed language, and structured self-reflection as safeguards against reductive or harmful applications of psychological labels.

Katy Wicks

Happy Citta Founder

Contents

1. Introduction

In recent years, public awareness of neurodivergence has expanded dramatically. Conversations about Autism Spectrum Condition (ASC), Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), and AuDHD (a colloquial term describing individuals who meet criteria for both) have moved beyond clinical circles into mainstream media, workplace culture, online communities, and everyday language. This cultural shift has created opportunities for individuals, particularly women and adults whose traits were overlooked in childhood, to recognise themselves more accurately and seek appropriate support.

However, this increased visibility has also introduced new challenges. One emerging issue is the growing confusion between neurodivergent traits and those associated with personality dysfunction, particularly Narcissistic Personality Disorder (NPD). Superficially similar behaviours (such as social difficulty, emotional volatility, blunt communication, or struggles with empathy) may arise from profoundly different underlying mechanisms. Without careful consideration, these behaviours can be misinterpreted, leading to mislabelling, stigma, and misunderstanding.

This confusion occurs in three key directions, each with ethical implications:

1. **Autistic, ADHD and AuDHD individuals misidentified as narcissistic.** Differences in communication style, sensory overload, or difficulties with implicit social cues can be interpreted as self-absorption or lack of empathy when viewed through an uninformed lens (Bargiela, Steward & Mandy, 2016).
2. **Narcissistic individuals strategically adopting neurodivergent language.** Some individuals with narcissistic traits may consciously present as neurodivergent to reframe criticism, elicit sympathy, or position interpersonal difficulties as neurologically inevitable rather than behaviourally driven (Ronningstam, 2016).
3. **Narcissistic individuals genuinely believing they are neurodivergent.** Limited self-awareness, shame-avoidance, and reliance on externally constructed identity narratives may lead some people with narcissistic traits to sincerely misidentify themselves as autistic or ADHD. This is not deception but a reflection of disrupted insight, fragile self-concept, and misinterpreted psychological language.

Across all three scenarios, the core issue is the same: surface-level behaviours alone are an insufficient basis for distinguishing between neurodevelopmental differences and personality-based interpersonal strategies.

This paper seeks to clarify these distinctions by synthesising developmental research, personality theory, empathy science, trauma-informed perspectives, and clinical

observation. While grounded in academic research, the discussion aims to remain accessible to readers without clinical training, offering a clear, nuanced framework that can reduce stigma, enhance understanding, and inform the ethical development of psychoeducational tools. The focus is primarily on Western, DSM-5-TR-based conceptualisations of autism, ADHD and NPD; cross-cultural variations are important but lie beyond the present scope.

1.1 Aims and structure

This paper has two linked aims:

1) to provide a clinically grounded framework for distinguishing neurodevelopmental differences (autism/ADHD/AuDHD) from narcissistic personality traits, including where trauma complicates both; and

2) to translate that framework into principles for an ethically framed, non-diagnostic self-reflection questionnaire. Sections 2–11 develop the conceptual differentiation; Sections 12 onward outline questionnaire design requirements consistent with the framework.

2. Conceptual Foundations

2.1 Defining the Constructs

This paper discusses autism and ADHD separately for conceptual clarity, while acknowledging that many individuals experience both (commonly referred to as AuDHD). Where relevant, the article highlights shared features without collapsing the two conditions.

Autism Spectrum Condition (ASC)
Autism is a neurodevelopmental condition characterised by differences in social communication, sensory processing, and cognitive patterns (American Psychiatric Association, 2022). These differences are present from early childhood, whether or not they are recognised at the time. Autistic individuals may experience challenges interpreting social norms, understanding implicit communication, managing sensory input, or shifting attention between tasks. Many autistic people report strong emotional responses to others' distress, but may find it difficult to interpret or predict social expectations, especially under cognitive load.

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)
ADHD is defined by persistent patterns of inattention, impulsivity, hyperactivity, and emotional dysregulation linked to differences in executive functioning and reward sensitivity (Faraone et al., 2021). Traits may include difficulty focusing, intense emotional responses, impulsivity, and heightened sensitivity to perceived rejection.

AuDHD

Although not a separate diagnostic category, AuDHD describes individuals who meet criteria for both Autism and ADHD. The co-occurrence of developmental differences in attention, sensory processing, and social cognition often produces a complex clinical presentation—one that may be even more vulnerable to misinterpretation as personality-driven behaviour. Emotional intensity (associated with ADHD) combined with social cognition differences (associated with Autism) can create relational patterns that appear abrupt, inconsistent, or overly sensitive, despite stemming from neurological causes. Terms such as "AuDHD" are widely used in clinical and online communities to describe co-occurring traits, even though they do not appear as standalone diagnoses in formal classification systems.

Narcissistic Personality Disorder (NPD)

NPD is characterised by enduring patterns of grandiosity, a strong need for admiration, and reduced emotional empathy, alongside a fragile, shame-sensitive self-esteem (American Psychiatric Association, 2022; Ronningstam, 2016). Unlike neurodevelopmental conditions, it emerges from relational environments and self-concept formation rather than neurological divergence. Behavioural patterns include entitlement, sensitivity to criticism (narcissistic injury), interpersonal manipulation, and difficulties sustaining reciprocal relationships.

Subclinical Narcissism vs Clinical NPD

It is essential to distinguish between individuals who exhibit some narcissistic traits—common in the general population—and those who meet the full diagnostic criteria for NPD. Subclinical narcissism may involve confidence, assertiveness, or preoccupation with achievement; clinical NPD involves impairing interpersonal patterns, reduced emotional empathy, and rigid reliance on grandiose or victim-identified self-narratives. Narcissistic traits are best conceptualised dimensionally, existing on a continuum from adaptive self-confidence to rigid, maladaptive patterns that cause significant distress or harm.

It is essential to note that these categories operate on fundamentally different levels: neurodivergence reflects stable neurodevelopmental differences, while narcissistic-style patterns reflect relational and self-esteem processes shaped by experience. Their comparison here is functional rather than categorical, intended to illuminate overlapping behaviours rather than equate their origins, implications, or identities.

2.2 Developmental Trajectories

Autism, ADHD and AuDHD emerge early in life as a result of innate neurological differences. These developmental pathways influence cognition, sensory processing,

communication, and emotional regulation from childhood through adulthood. They are not acquired traits but intrinsic neurodevelopmental profiles.

In contrast, narcissistic traits arise from relational and emotional development. Early childhood environments involving inconsistent validation, conditional acceptance, or emotional neglect can lead to defensive self-enhancement as a means of managing shame or perceived inadequacy (Kernberg, 2016). Narcissism is therefore not a neurodevelopmental difference but an interpersonal strategy built over time.

Trauma, particularly chronic or complex trauma, can interact with both neurodevelopmental profiles and personality formation, further complicating assessment. Emotional neglect, abuse, or prolonged instability may shape how both neurodivergent and non-neurodivergent individuals learn to protect themselves, relate to others, and interpret criticism.

2.3 Mechanisms: Neurological vs Psychological Drivers

Behavioural similarities can be misleading because the reasons behind them differ fundamentally.

In Autism:

- sensory overwhelm;
- difficulty interpreting social cues;
- preference for predictability;
- cognitive styles favouring detail or routine;
- slower or more effortful processing of social information, especially in real time.

In ADHD:

- impulsivity;
- rapid emotional reactivity;
- disinhibition;
- reward-centred decision-making;
- heightened rejection sensitivity.

In AuDHD:

- the combined effect of both profiles;
- emotional intensity paired with social cognition differences;

- shutdowns or overwhelm from competing processing demands;
- fluctuating presentation depending on environment and stress.

In Narcissistic Personality:

- shame avoidance;
- self-esteem protection;
- need for admiration or control;
- diminished emotional empathy;
- interpersonal strategies that reinforce superiority or deflect blame.

Understanding these mechanisms is central to accurate differentiation: similar behaviours do not imply similar origins, and in many cases multiple mechanisms may be present simultaneously (for example, a neurodivergent person with trauma history, or a person with both ADHD and prominent narcissistic traits).

2.4 Why Confusion Occurs

Several factors contribute to the conflation of neurodivergent traits with narcissistic patterns:

1. **Behavioural overlap.**
   Bluntness, emotional reactivity, boundary challenges or social withdrawal can appear similar across different conditions.
2. **The rise of neurodivergent identity discourse.**
   Terms like “neurospicy”, “masking”, and “executive dysfunction” are widely used online, sometimes without full understanding of their clinical meaning.
3. **Misunderstanding empathy.**
   Many still view empathy as a single trait, rather than a multidimensional process with emotional and cognitive components.
4. **Narcissistic identity narratives.**
   For individuals who struggle with accountability or shame, identifying as neurodivergent may offer a more tolerable or socially acceptable explanation for their interpersonal difficulties.
5. **The online environment.**
   Digital platforms often reward brief, emotionally engaging content rather than nuance; while many creators provide careful psychoeducation, structural incentives can unintentionally favour simplified narratives over subtlety.

3. Empathy and Social Cognition

Confusion between neurodivergence and narcissism often revolves around empathy. Empathy is not a single ability but a set of related processes, including:

- **Emotional empathy** (feeling with others);
- **Cognitive empathy** (understanding another's perspective);
- **Compassionate empathy** (motivation to respond supportively).

These components can vary independently across individuals and conditions.

3.1 Autism: Emotional Empathy with Social-Cognitive Differences

Many autistic individuals describe intense emotional empathy and deep concern for others, sometimes to the point of feeling overwhelmed by others' distress (Smith, 2009). Difficulties arise primarily in:

- interpreting facial expressions and non-verbal signals;
- understanding humour, irony or implied meaning;
- predicting others' expectations;
- processing multiple social cues simultaneously, especially in noisy or demanding environments.

What may appear as emotional coldness or self-focus is more accurately understood as cognitive processing difficulty, sensory overload or delayed comprehension, rather than an absence of caring. Empathy profiles in autism are heterogeneous; some individuals also experience difficulties in emotional awareness (alexithymia) or expression, and relational models such as the "double empathy problem" highlight that empathy challenges can be bi-directional rather than residing solely in the autistic person.

3.2 ADHD: High Empathy with Regulation Difficulties

People with ADHD typically possess strong emotional empathy but struggle with:

- emotional regulation;
- impulse control;
- pausing before reacting.

This can lead to:

- blurting out comments that seem insensitive;
- difficulty holding back strong emotional reactions;
- intense responses to perceived criticism;
- feelings of guilt or regret after the fact.

The difficulty is not a lack of empathy, but instead difficulty managing and expressing the emotional responses that empathy sets in motion.

3.3 Narcissism: Cognitive Empathy with Reduced Emotional Resonance

Individuals with narcissistic traits often understand others' emotions on a cognitive level, sometimes with considerable accuracy. However, emotional empathy (the capacity to feel concern or compassion) may be substantially reduced (Wai & Tiliopoulos, 2012). This can allow narcissistic individuals to:

- analyse others' reactions;
- anticipate emotional leverage points;
- navigate social hierarchies.

These empathy-profile differences are central to why superficially similar behaviours are frequently misattributed across neurodivergent and narcissistic presentations.

In contrast to many autistic and ADHD presentations, this profile reflects relatively intact cognitive empathy with more limited emotional resonance. The same observable behaviour (e.g. not comforting someone) can thus arise from very different internal setups: confusion and overwhelm in autism, impulsivity or dysregulation in ADHD, and limited emotional concern in narcissism.

4. Masking and Impression Management

Both autistic people and those with narcissistic traits may modify their behaviour in social situations, but for very different reasons.

4.1 Autistic Masking

Masking is a survival strategy developed by many autistic individuals to navigate confusing or unsafe social environments. It may involve:

- consciously copying social behaviours;

- suppressing stimming or self-regulatory movements;
- rehearsing conversational scripts;
- forcing eye contact or socially expected expressions;
- hiding signs of overwhelm.

Masking is often experienced as effortful and exhausting. It is associated with increased anxiety, burnout and delayed diagnosis, particularly in women and AFAB (Assigned Female at Birth) individuals (Hull et al., 2017).

4.2 Narcissistic Impression Management

Narcissistic impression management is oriented towards maintaining a preferred self-image rather than toward survival per se. It may involve:

- emphasising achievements;
- presenting idealised versions of the self;
- selectively disclosing vulnerabilities to maintain sympathy;
- downplaying responsibility for harm;
- shifting narratives to preserve superiority or victim status.

This process is not usually experienced as burdensome in the same way masking is; it is more deeply integrated into the person's sense of identity and relational strategy.

4.3 Why They Are Confused

From the outside, both masking and impression management can resemble "performing" socially. However, the intentions differ markedly:

- Autistic masking aims to avoid exclusion, misunderstanding or harm, and often seeks acceptance or safety.
- Narcissistic impression management aims to secure admiration, control narrative, or protect self-esteem.

Without attention to developmental history and motivational structure, outward "performance" can be overinterpreted as deception, coldness, or manipulation. Both motivations can coexist in the same person when there is comorbid neurodivergence and narcissistic traits, which underscores the need for careful, individualised assessment.

5. Trauma and Diagnostic Confusion

Trauma can mimic traits of both neurodivergence and narcissistic functioning, further complicating conceptual clarity. This understanding aligns with contemporary trauma research, which emphasises that chronic or relational threat reorganises the nervous system (van der Kolk, 2014) and shapes self-protection strategies, emotional regulation and social participation (Herman, 2015).

5.1 Trauma Mimicking Autism or ADHD

Complex trauma can produce patterns including:

- emotional dysregulation;
- dissociation;
- hypervigilance;
- chronic exhaustion or shutdown;
- difficulties with concentration and planning.

These can be mistaken for autistic withdrawal, sensory avoidance, ADHD-related impulsivity or AuDHD overwhelm, especially in adults without a clear childhood developmental history. Research on complex trauma and developmental adversity shows that emotional dysregulation, dissociation, hypervigilance and avoidance can closely resemble these presentations, creating diagnostic ambiguity when context is not carefully explored (Herman, 2015; Ford & Courtois, 2013).

5.2 Trauma Mimicking Narcissistic Traits

Trauma-related adaptations, particularly those associated with chronic invalidation or attachment disruption, may manifest as:

- emotional numbing;
- defensive grandiosity;
- relational distancing;
- a strong need to control interpersonal dynamics;
- avoidance of vulnerability.

These patterns can resemble narcissistic traits despite originating from protective survival mechanisms rather than from personality organisation structured around

esteem regulation (Courtois & Ford, 2020). What looks like arrogance may in some cases be a shield against further injury.

This triadic overlap (neurodevelopmental profile × trauma overlay × self-esteem protection) is a primary source of diagnostic and social confusion, and a key justification for pattern-based rather than label-based tools.

5.3 Trauma, Neurodivergence and Personality Dynamics

Trauma can overlay pre-existing neurodivergence or personality traits, amplifying difficulties in ways that mimic other conditions. A traumatised autistic person may present very differently from a non-traumatised autistic person; similarly, narcissistic traits can be intensified or shaped by traumatic experiences. Misdiagnosis occurs when assessment focuses exclusively on behaviour, rather than tracing how developmental history, neurobiology and relational experiences interact.

6. Mislabelling in Both Directions

Mislabelling between neurodivergence and narcissistic personality traits can occur in both directions, complicating public understanding and clinical assessment. While neurodivergent individuals may be incorrectly perceived as narcissistic due to differences in social processing, communication style or emotional regulation, individuals with narcissistic traits may also align themselves with neurodivergent identities for psychological or interpersonal reasons. The surface similarities in behaviour obscure profoundly different internal mechanisms, motivations and developmental origins.

It is also increasingly recognised that individuals may gravitate toward certain psychological identities not purely based on their experiences, but because those identities offer coherence, community or protection from stigma. This ‘identity comfort’ effect is particularly relevant in distinguishing neurodivergence from trauma-related or self-esteem-related processes.

6.1 Neurodivergent Individuals Misidentified as Narcissistic

Autistic, ADHD and AuDHD individuals may be misperceived as narcissistic when their traits are interpreted solely through a relational or moral lens. Examples include:

- difficulties interpreting implicit social cues appearing as disregard for others;
- sensory overwhelm or shutdowns being perceived as withdrawal or emotional coldness;

- blunt communication being mistaken for deliberate insensitivity;
- difficulty with perspective-taking under processing load being construed as egocentrism;
- emotional dysregulation (ADHD) resembling volatility or entitlement.

Such misinterpretations often reflect a misunderstanding of neurodevelopmental differences. Research shows that autistic adults, particularly women, are disproportionately vulnerable to this type of mislabelling due to masking, late diagnosis and gendered expectations around communication and emotional expression (Bargiela, Steward & Mandy, 2016; Crane et al., 2019). The consequences may include shame, impaired self-esteem, disrupted relationships and reluctance to seek appropriate assessment or support.

6.2 Narcissistic Individuals Strategically Identifying as Neurodivergent

Some individuals with narcissistic traits may adopt a neurodivergent identity as part of an interpersonal strategy. This can serve several functions:

- reframing the cause of relational difficulties as neurological rather than behavioural;
- reducing personal accountability for harm;
- eliciting sympathy and reducing criticism;
- presenting an identity associated with distinctiveness or "specialness";
- integrating into desirable communities.

This adoption does not always arise from conscious manipulation; for some, it reflects an internal logic of maintaining a favourable self-concept within the constraints of fragile self-esteem. In such cases, neurodivergence becomes an explanatory narrative that protects against shame rather than a reflection of developmental history or cognitive processing differences (Ronningstam, 2016).

7. Narcissists Who Genuinely Believe They Are Neurodivergent

It is plausible that some individuals with prominent narcissistic traits may sincerely interpret themselves as autistic or ADHD, particularly where insight is limited and identity narratives are used to manage shame and relational strain. Not all are consciously adopting the label for strategic benefit.

Some narcissistic individuals genuinely believe the identification with autistic or ADHD traits reflects their inner reality. This can be understood through two well-documented psychological processes: limited insight and narrative-based meaning-making.

7.1 Limited Insight and Metacognitive Difficulty

A central characteristic of narcissistic personality functioning is an impaired capacity for self-reflection when reflection threatens self-esteem (Dimaggio et al., 2008). This impairment may manifest as:

- difficulty recognising one's contribution to conflict;
- inability to integrate negative feedback without defensiveness;
- externalisation of blame;
- reliance on self-enhancing narratives;
- avoidance of internal states that evoke shame or vulnerability.

Under these conditions, a neurodivergent explanation may appear more coherent or ego-safe than acknowledging relational dysfunction. This reflects the psychological structure of narcissistic coping rather than simple denial.

7.2 Narrative Construction and Meaning-Making

Identity in narcissistic functioning is often maintained through narratives that portray the individual as misunderstood, mistreated, exceptional or constrained by forces outside their control. Neurodivergence, when misunderstood or taken out of context, can be integrated into such narratives:

- "Others misinterpret me because my brain works differently."
- "My difficulties are not personality-based; they are neurological."
- "I cannot adjust my behaviour because this is how I am wired."

These narratives can resemble authentic neurodivergent self-descriptions but differ in origin, intention and underlying emotional processes. While neurodivergent individuals commonly experience guilt, confusion and a desire for improved relational understanding, narcissistic narratives more often emphasise justification, entitlement or the centrality of the self as a victim. Although empirical studies directly exploring narcissistic self-identification as neurodivergent are scarce, the formulation presented here is grounded in clinical observation, practitioner experience and reflective inquiry undertaken to better understand how individuals with limited insight may adopt identity narratives that reduce shame and preserve self-coherence.

8. Distinguishing Narcissistic Misidentification from Genuine Neurodivergence

To differentiate neurodivergent traits from narcissistic identification, clinicians examine multiple dimensions beyond outward behaviour. The following table illustrates key distinctions while acknowledging that comorbidity and individual variation are common; the patterns described represent tendencies rather than absolute categories.

Table 1. Comparative Features of Autism, ADHD, AuDHD and Narcissistic Personality Traits

Domain	**Autism**	**ADHD**	**AuDHD**	**Narcissistic Personality Traits**
Developmental History	Present from early childhood; consistent across lifespan	Present from early childhood; fluctuates with context	Combined developmental history of both autism and ADHD	Emerges from relational patterns in childhood/adolescence; not neurodevelopmental
Internal Experience	Confusion, overwhelm, desire for clarity; shame when social errors occur	Impulsivity, emotional flooding, regret	Intense emotional and cognitive overwhelm; oscillation between shutdown and reactivity	Self-enhancement, externalisation, fragile self-esteem; avoidance of shame
Social Motivation	Desire for connection but difficulty navigating social rules	Strong social interest; struggles with regulation and consistency	Desire for connection complicated by overwhelm and inconsistent regulation	Desire for admiration, validation, superiority or control
Empathy Profile	Often strong emotional empathy; cognitive empathy differences; possible alexithymia	Strong emotional empathy; impulsivity disrupts expression	High empathy with emotional overload and processing strain	Cognitive empathy intact; emotional empathy reduced
Response to Stress	Shutdowns, withdrawal, increased rigidity, sensory overwhelm	Explosive emotion followed by remorse or exhaustion	Switch between meltdown and shutdown; rapid escalation	Rage, defensiveness, denial, projection
Reaction to Feedback	Attempts to understand; shame or confusion; may over-apologise	Extreme sensitivity; overcorrection; rumination	Intense shame plus overwhelm; difficulty integrating feedback	Defensiveness, invalidation of feedback, blaming others

Interpersonal Goals	Authentic connection, clarity, predictability	Harmony, acceptance, stimulation	Connection without overwhelm; stability in relationships	Validation, admiration, self-protection
Masking / Presentation	Effortful, exhausting masking to fit in	Masking inconsistently or impulsively	Both effortful and inconsistent masking; variability across settings	Impression management aimed at maintaining superiority or control

This comparison highlights that, while behaviours may overlap, motivational structure, internal experience and developmental trajectory differ markedly. In clinical practice, these distinctions are considered alongside trauma history, cultural context and the possibility of overlapping conditions. Importantly, the presence of a pattern does not imply the presence of a category. People may show autistic-style, ADHD-style, trauma-related, or self-esteem-protective patterns for a wide range of reasons that do not map neatly onto diagnostic identities.

For this reason, self-reflective psychoeducational tools should not be designed to identify neurological conditions nor narcissistic personality disorder, nor to classify users. Instead, it should highlight patterns associated with relational self-protection, which may arise from trauma, learned interaction styles or fluctuating self-esteem, rather than from fixed personality structures.

9. Online Dynamics Reinforcing Misidentification

Digital and cultural factors contribute substantially to the blurring of distinctions between neurodivergence and narcissistic traits. Short-form educational content reduces complex psychological constructs into simplified statements that encourage superficial self-diagnosis. Online communities sometimes reward identity-based narratives, making neurodivergent labels appealing as markers of belonging or uniqueness, even when they are not fully understood. The popularisation of neurodivergent terminology increases the likelihood that individuals apply terms incorrectly or without clinical grounding, particularly when algorithms prioritise emotionally engaging content over nuanced explanation.

At the same time, many online creators and communities provide careful, reflective psychoeducation and support. The problem is not inherent in these communities, but in the structural pressures that favour speed, brevity and emotional intensity over the slower work of exploring differential diagnosis, developmental history and internal motivation. Trauma-related behaviours are frequently conflated with developmental differences or personality traits, further obscuring accurate identification and potentially leading to mismatched self-concepts and help-seeking pathways.

10. Ethical Considerations for Distinguishing Neurodivergence and Narcissistic Traits

Developing conceptual clarity between neurodivergence and narcissistic traits is not merely a theoretical exercise; it carries significant ethical implications. Misidentification (whether self-directed or externally imposed) can lead to stigma, harmful self-labelling, inappropriate interventions or failure to seek appropriate support.

Clinicians and authors should prioritise developmental history and internal experience over surface behaviour when drawing distinctions between neurodivergence, narcissistic traits and trauma-related patterns. Communication differences associated with autism, ADHD and AuDHD should be understood as neurodevelopmental variations rather than automatically interpreted as deficits of character, morality or empathy. Narcissistic traits should be recognised as reflecting complex relational injury, shame and defensive structures rather than being reduced to caricatures of malice or intentional cruelty. A responsible approach prevents harm by acknowledging that all of these presentations require nuance, compassion and precise conceptual boundaries.

11. Advanced Differential Diagnosis Table

The following, more detailed table integrates mechanisms, emotional patterns, motivations, developmental pathways and interpersonal characteristics across autism, ADHD, AuDHD, narcissistic personality traits and trauma-related presentations. It is offered as a conceptual aid rather than a diagnostic tool.

Table 2. Advanced Differential Features Across Neurodivergence, Narcissistic Traits and Trauma

Domain	**Autism**	**ADHD**	**AuDHD**	**Narcissistic Traits / NPD**	**Trauma-related Patterns (e.g. C-PTSD)**
Developmental Origin	Neurodevelopmental; early onset	Neurodevelopmental; early onset	Combined autism + ADHD development	Relational and personality development; inconsistent validation or mirroring	Chronic adversity and attachment disruptions
Core Mechanisms	Social cognition differences; sensory processing differences; need for predictability	Executive dysfunction; impulsivity; reward sensitivity	Interaction of sensory differences and impulsive processing	Self-esteem fragility; shame avoidance; defensive grandiosity	Survival strategies; hypervigilance; learned threat responses
Social Orientation	Desire for connection but difficulty decoding social cues	Strong interest in others; inconsistent follow-through	Desire for connection complicated by intensity and overwhelm	Desire for admiration, influence or superiority	Desire for safety; guardedness; possible distrust of others
Empathy Pattern	Often high emotional empathy; cognitive empathy differences; potential double-empathy mismatch	High emotional empathy; difficulty regulating empathic distress	High empathy with emotional and cognitive overload	Cognitive empathy often high; emotional empathy reduced	High empathic capacity; avoidance or numbing due to pain
Emotional Regulation	Shutdowns, rigidity, delayed processing, sensory overload	Explosive emotion; rapid shifts; emotional “spikes”	Alternating meltdowns and shutdowns; exhaustion	Rage, indignation, envy; defensive dismissal	Overactivation or numbing; emotional flashbacks; oscillation

Communication Style	Literal, blunt, precise; difficulty with subtext or small talk	Tangential, rapid, interrupting; difficulty staying on topic	Inconsistent: blunt plus impulsive; may appear chaotic	Persuasive, self-referential, self-promoting; minimising others' needs	Scanning for threat; cautious, appeasing or reactive
Response to Overwhelm	Withdrawal; sensory avoidance; increased need for structure	Acting before thinking; impulsive outbursts	Rapid escalation followed by shutdown or collapse	Blame-shifting; asserting control; attacking source of threat	Freeze, flight, fight or fawn responses; avoidance of triggers
Reaction to Criticism	Shame, confusion, rumination; attempts to adjust or mask more	Extreme sensitivity; overcorrection; self-criticism	Intense shame with overwhelm; may oscillate between apology and withdrawal	Narcissistic injury; rage, contempt or dismissal; projection of blame	Shame, self-blame or collapse; fear of further harm
Primary Interpersonal Goal	Clarity, authenticity, predictability in relationships	Acceptance, stimulation, a sense of belonging	Connection without overload; stability and understanding	Validation, admiration, power or special status	Safety, stability and reliable connection
Motivational Drivers	Predictability, sensory comfort, truthfulness	Interest, stimulation, relief from boredom or inhibition	Managing conflicting demands of intensity and overload	Self-protection, esteem regulation, avoidance of vulnerability	Avoidance of threat or retraumatisation; regaining control
Masking / Adaptation	Exhausting, often long-term masking to fit in; high burnout risk	Inconsistent masking; may "drop the mask" impulsively	Both effortful masking and inconsistent presentation; variable across contexts	Identity management and self-enhancement; less experienced as burdensome	Hypervigilant adaptation for safety; role-based behaviours (e.g. fawning)

Again, these distinctions are best understood as patterns and tendencies, not rigid classifications. Individual presentations may combine elements from multiple columns.

11.1 Operationalising the framework in a self-reflection tool

Operationalising the framework

To translate conceptual distinctions into an ethically framed self-reflection tool, a questionnaire has been designed which operationalises each pattern domain as a cluster of items reflecting (a) internal experience and mechanism, (b) characteristic responses under stress, and (c) relational priorities during conflict. The questionnaire is not designed to diagnose autism, ADHD, narcissistic personality disorder, or trauma-related disorders; instead, it provides pattern alignment intended to support curiosity, psychoeducation, and appropriate signposting.

Mitigating self-report limitations

Because self-report instruments are vulnerable to impression management, limited insight and socially desirable responding, the tool incorporates design features that reduce reliance on explicit self-labelling. These include crossover items spanning domains, questions that focus on temporal sequencing (e.g., "what happens first"), and a dedicated Depth & Reflection section that explores discomfort priorities, internal tensions and perceived self–other gaps. These strategies aim to surface patterns that are often automatic or difficult to notice without structured reflection, without implying access to unconscious motive.

A prototype reflective questionnaire based on these principles has now been published for psychoeducational use; however, the claims made about it remain non-diagnostic, and it should be treated as a reflective aid rather than an assessment instrument.

Depth and reflective scaffolding

To support insight beyond surface self-concept while avoiding deception, a subset of items has been incorporated to explore (i) conflict discomfort priorities, (ii) first reaction versus reflective response, (iii) relational priorities, (iv) perceived self–other gaps, and (v) internal tension pairings. Such items do not "measure the unconscious"; rather, they invite structured reflection on automatic patterns and competing needs, which may be difficult to recognise without prompts.

In addition to ethical content and framing, any questionnaire emerging from this framework would require empirical validation (e.g., reliability testing, construct validity and careful piloting) before any clinical claims could be made.

12. Ethical Guidelines for Questionnaire Development

An ethically responsible questionnaire built on this conceptual framework must be carefully designed to clarify, rather than distort, the distinctions between neurodivergence, narcissistic traits and trauma-related patterns.

The primary function of the questionnaire should be psychoeducation, helping respondents understand patterns and possibilities rather than providing diagnoses or labels. Item wording should avoid treating bluntness, emotional reactivity, overwhelm or boundary difficulties as interchangeable across autism, ADHD, AuDHD, narcissistic traits and trauma-related presentations. Questions should incorporate contextual elements such as developmental history, sensory experiences, relational patterns and emotional responses, rather than focusing solely on isolated behaviours.

Feedback to respondents should be framed in compassionate, non-catastrophising language that reduces shame and invites reflection rather than reinforcing fear or self-stigma. Where responses suggest significant distress, trauma or entrenched relational difficulties, the questionnaire should explicitly signpost options for seeking professional assessment and support. As far as possible, the questionnaire should distinguish between behaviour and intention, so that respondents are not led to assume that neurodevelopmental traits automatically imply harmful motives or that all relational harm reflects personality disorder.

In addition to ethical content and framing, any future questionnaire emerging from this framework would require empirical validation, including piloting, reliability testing and examination of construct validity, before it could be responsibly used in clinical or self-reflective contexts.

13. Conclusion

Distinguishing neurodivergence from narcissistic personality traits requires careful attention to developmental origins, internal experience, motivation, emotional regulation and interpersonal goals, not merely surface behaviour. Autism, ADHD and AuDHD arise from neurodevelopmental differences in cognition and sensory processing, whereas narcissistic traits reflect relational patterns, self-esteem vulnerability, and defensive structures shaped by early interpersonal environments. Trauma further complicates differentiation, as its effects can mimic aspects of both neurodivergence and narcissistic behaviour.

Online discourse, algorithmic amplification and simplified psychological language add layers of misunderstanding that increase mislabelling in both directions. Neurodivergent individuals risk being perceived as narcissistic when communication differences or overwhelm are misread as indifference or entitlement; individuals with narcissistic traits may adopt neurodivergent identities, strategically or sincerely, to protect self-esteem or reduce shame.

The resulting questionnaire therefore functions as a reflective tool, not a diagnostic instrument, supporting users to notice the themes within their own experiences without assigning or excluding clinical labels.

This paper has offered a comprehensive framework for understanding these distinctions and highlighted the ethical considerations necessary for developing responsible psychoeducational tools. By grounding any future questionnaire in compassion, developmental context and psychological nuance and by recognising that narcissistic traits themselves often arise from pain and unmet needs rather than malice, it becomes possible to support more accurate self-understanding, healthier boundaries and more effective routes to support. The aim is not to divide people into rigid categories, but to offer a more precise language for human complexity, reducing harm while increasing clarity.

14. References

American Psychiatric Association (2022) *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM-5-TR). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing.

Bargiela, S., Steward, R. and Mandy, W. (2016) 'The experiences of late-diagnosed women with autism spectrum conditions: An investigation of the female autism phenotype', *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 46(10), pp. 3281–3294.

Courtois, C.A. and Ford, J.D. (2020) *Treatment of Complex Trauma: A Sequenced, Relationship-Based Approach*. New York: Guilford Press.

Crane, L., Adams, F., Harper, G., Welch, J. and Pellicano, E. (2019) '"Something needs to change": Mental health experiences of young autistic adults in England', *Autism*, 23(2), pp. 477–493.

Dimaggio, G., Semerari, A., Falcone, M. et al. (2008) 'Metacognition, states of mind, and interpersonal cycles: Proposal for an integrated model of narcissism', *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration*, 18(3), pp. 286–301.

Faraone, S. V., Asherson, P., Banaschewski, T. et al. (2021) 'Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder', *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, 7, pp. 1–23.

Ford, J.D. and Courtois, C.A. (2013) *Treating Complex Traumatic Stress Disorders in Children and Adolescents: Scientific Foundations and Therapeutic Models*. New York: Guilford Press.

Gabay, R., Hameiri, B., Rubel, A. and Nadler, A. (2020) 'The tendency for interpersonal victimhood: The personality construct and its consequences', *Personality and Individual Differences*, 165, 110134.

Herman, J. (2015) *Trauma and Recovery*. New York: Basic Books.

Hull, L., Mandy, W., Lai, M.-C. et al. (2017) 'Development and validation of the Camouflaging Autistic Traits Questionnaire (CAT-Q)', *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 49, pp. 819–833.

Kernberg, O. (2016) 'Narcissistic Personality Disorder', in Livesley, W. (ed.) *Handbook of Personality Disorders*. New York: Guilford Press.

Ronningstam, E. (2016) 'Pathological narcissism and narcissistic personality disorder: Recent research and clinical implications', *Current Behavioral Neuroscience Reports*, 3(1), pp. 34–42.

Smith, A. (2009) ‘The empathy imbalance hypothesis of autism: A theoretical approach to cognitive and emotional empathy in autistic development’, *Psychological Record*, 59, pp. 489–510.

van der Kolk, B.A. (2014) *The Body Keeps the Score: Brain, Mind and Body in the Transformation of Trauma*. London: Penguin Books.

Wai, M. and Tiliopoulos, N. (2012) ‘The affective and cognitive empathic nature of the Dark Triad of personality’, *Personality and Individual Differences*, 52(7), pp. 794–799.